

## ARKANSAS

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l		FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	FY 2005
District	Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas/Trails/Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Estimate
01	Arkansas Post Natl Memorial	706	701	0	0	701
01,03	Buffalo National River	4,331	4,319	0	0	4,319
03	Fort Smith NHS	781	778	0	0	778
04	Hot Springs NP	3,367	3,354	0	271	3,625
02	Little Rock Central High School NHS	633	626	0	0	626
03	Pea Ridge NMP	660	658	0	156	814
00	Trail of Tears NHT	245	296	0	0	296

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## ARKANSAS

### Hot Springs National Park, Arkansas

#### **\$271,000 and 2.5 FTEs to Preserve Historic Resources and Cultural Landscapes**

Funding is requested to improve the maintenance routine used to preserve the park's 47 historic structures and seven cultural landscapes. The structural integrity of many buildings is threatened by rotten wood, damaged masonry and poor roof conditions. Health and safety issues cannot always be corrected in a timely manner, resulting in a facility or part of a facility being taken out of use until problems can be addressed. Also, resources are not available to maintain all landscapes to their desired or historic appearance. Funds would be used to establish a preventative maintenance program, remedy health and safety problems quickly, and institute a building and grounds repair program to minimize resource deterioration. These actions would improve the aesthetic quality of cultural landscapes, preserve historic structures and provide safe and sanitary facilities for visitors and employee use.

### Pea Ridge National Military Park, Arkansas

#### **\$156,000 and 2.5 FTEs to Repair and Maintain Resources and Facilities**

Funding is requested to reduce the maintenance backlog for park resources and facilities, including the Elkhorn Tavern, the Federal Earthwork entrenchments, split-rail fencing, historic road traces, interpretive trails, historic fields, interpretive waysides and the visitor center. The combination of an aging infrastructure and the effects of the weather on the historic wood structures have resulted in major deterioration. Funding would repair weather and insect damage to the historic house and rail fences and maintain them in good condition. Erosion to interpretive trails and historic fields would be corrected, mitigating visitor safety hazards and allowing the re-opening of the Federal Earthwork entrenchments to visitors after a 12-year closure. The comprehensive, preventive maintenance program established through this proposal would reverse the loss of historic integrity, eliminate unsafe conditions and provide for a more enjoyable visitor experience.

**ARKANSAS (MWR)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Arkansas Post Natl Mem	Ongoing Project
Buffalo NR	Potential New Start

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Hot Springs High School	Ongoing Project

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Hot Springs NP	Rehabilitate Bathhouses for Adaptive Reuse	\$4,948

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$569

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$1,143

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	940
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	2005
<b>Funding Source:</b>	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Rehabilitate Bathhouses for Adaptive Reuse		
<b>Project No:</b> 056091	<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Hot Springs National Park	
<b>Region:</b> Midwest	<b>Congressional District:</b> 4	<b>State:</b> AR

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** Bathhouse Row is a collection of eight historic bathhouse structures, located in a National Historic Landmark District. They range in size from 12,000 square feet to over 28,000 square feet. This project will stabilize and rehabilitate the bathhouses in several phases for their preservation and adaptive use by removing hazardous lead-based paint; completing major structural repairs; replacing/repairing roofs and skylights; repairing windows, doors, and floors; repairing exterior walls; sealing and replacing plaster on masonry walls; replacing HVAC systems, electrical systems, and plumbing systems; and complying with accessibility requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act. Structural repairs needed include replacement and/or addition of concrete/steel supporting beams, repairing cracks in concrete floors and decks; replacing ceramic floor tiles; cleaning rust from exposed concrete reinforcing bars in floor and roof decks; applying patching compounds; repairing and leveling cracked concrete floors; pouring new concrete footings for walls and flooring where they have settled or cracked; repairing/replacing deteriorated staircases; and containing water penetrations into basements. This phase of the project will complete work on the Ozark and Quapaw bathhouses, and pending evaluation of and negotiations on a leasing proposal for the Hale, may also complete work on the Hale and Lamar bathhouses.

**Project Need/Benefit:** One bathhouse, the Buckstaff, has remained open under a concession contract and the Fordyce Bathhouse has been rehabilitated and adapted for use as the park's visitor center and museum. The remaining six bathhouses have been closed for several years and are in deteriorated condition. Visitors on Bathhouse Row cannot be allowed access to the bathhouses; this restriction must remain in effect until the structures are rehabilitated. This project will continue work that has previously been done which includes: most of the asbestos was removed from the six bathhouses, lead-based paint was removed from the Superior and Hale Bathhouses, interim roof repairs were made to the Superior and Hale Bathhouses, and simple fan ventilation systems were installed in the basements of the Superior and Hale Bathhouses. Interim roof repairs were made to the Quapaw, Ozark, and Maurice Bathhouses until roof replacement can be done; lead was abated in the Quapaw Bathhouse; the collapsed front area of the Ozark Bathhouse was rebuilt; and abatement and repair of windows and doors was partially completed in the Quapaw and Ozark Bathhouses. Completion of this project will bring the bathhouses into a condition where they can be adaptively used through the concessions program, historic leasing program, or other leasing program. The park's GMP recognized the historical importance of these structures and states that they be rehabilitated and preserved through adaptive use. This project will implement GMP recommendations and fulfill the mission of the National Park Service in preserving historical/cultural resources. It will also provide more opportunities for visitors, and improve relationships with the community by changing run-down, deteriorated structures into useful, functional buildings.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

80 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
20 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

<b>Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:</b> YES: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO: <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Total Project Score:</b> 940
---	---------------------------------

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>		<b>Project Funding History:</b>	
	\$'s		%
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$17,966,000	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 6,918,000
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 0	Requested in FY 2005 Budget:	\$ 4,989,000
<b>Total Project Estimate:</b>	<b>\$17,966,000</b>	Planned Funding:	\$ 0
Class of Estimate:	B	Future Funding to	
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/05	Complete Project:	\$ 6,059,000
		Project Total:	\$ 17,966,000
<b>Dates:</b>	<b>Sch'd (qtr/yy)</b>	<b>Project Data Sheet</b>	<b>Unchanged Since</b>
Construction Start/Award	2 / 2005	Prepared/Last Updated:	1/9/04
Project Complete:	2 / 2006		Departmental Approval:
			YES: <input type="checkbox"/> NO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

## ILLINOIS

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l	District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	FY 2003 Enacted	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2005 Program Changes	FY 2005 Estimate
	00 Lewis & Clark NHT	1,696	1,681	0	0	1,681
	20 Lincoln Home NHS	2,044	2,332	0	0	2,332
	Ronald Reagan Boyhood NHS	0	82	0	0	82
	0 Trail of Tears NHT	245	296	0	0	296

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**ILLINOIS (MWR)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION  
None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)  
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$778

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
Proposed state apportionment: \$3,227

## INDIANA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l	District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	FY 2003 Enacted	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2005 Program Changes	FY 2005 Estimate
	08 George Rogers Clark NHP	697	694	0	0	694
	01,02 Indiana Dunes NL	7,273	7,150	0	0	7,150
	09 Lincoln Boyhood Natl Memorial	796	792	0	107	899

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## **INDIANA**

### **Lincoln Boyhood National Memorial, Indiana**

#### **\$107,000 and 1.0 FTE to Establish Preventive Maintenance Program**

Funding is requested to establish a preventive maintenance program for park facilities. A history of cursory maintenance has caused deterioration of the visitor center, maintenance/resource management buildings and administrative offices. Funding would be used to establish a preventive maintenance program and to perform routine maintenance on all facilities, increasing visitor satisfaction with park services.

**INDIANA (MWR)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Lincoln Boyhood Home Natl Mem	Potential New Start

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Indiana Dunes NL EIS	Ongoing Project

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Indiana Dunes NL	Safety Improvements	\$364
Indiana Dunes NL	Safety and Drainage Improvements	\$710

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$656

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$1,820

## IOWA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l	District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	FY 2005
		Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Estimate
	01,04 Effigy Mounds NM	807	803	0	0	803
	02 Herbert Hoover NHS	1,075	1,069	0	0	1,069
	00 Lewis & Clark NHT	1,696	1,681	0	0	1,681
	00 Mormon Pioneer NHT	126	125	0	0	125

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**IOWA (MWR)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION  
None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)  
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$619

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
Proposed state apportionment: \$1,213

## KANSAS

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l		FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	FY 2005
District	Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Estimate
	02 Brown v. Board of Education NHS	832	1,159	0	61	1,220
	00 California NHT	199	246	0	0	246
	01 Fort Larned NHS	779	776	0	145	921
	02 Fort Scott NHS	900	896	0	0	896
	00 Lewis & Clark NHT	1,696	1,681	0	0	1,681
	01 Nicodemus NHS	372	369	0	0	369
	00 Oregon NHT	214	213	0	0	213
	00 Pony Express NHT	179	177	0	0	177
	00 Santa Fe NHT	592	631	0	0	631
	01 Tallgrass Prairie Natl Preserve	880	873	0	0	873

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## **KANSAS**

### **Brown v. Board of Education National Historic Site, Kansas**

#### **\$61,000 and 1.0 FTE to Complete Establishment of Maintenance Program for New Facility**

Funding is requested to provide a maintenance program for the recently restored Monroe school building, the park's only facility. The historic school is scheduled to open to the public in May 2004, the 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the landmark Supreme Court decision. Located in the city of Topeka, the state's capitol, Monroe will receive heavy use from schools across the region and approximately 150,000 visitors annually. Funds would be used to provide a preventive maintenance program for the school building and its new, technical infrastructure. The complete maintenance program would protect the \$10.8 million rehabilitation investment in the site and ensure an enjoyable experience for visitors.

### **Fort Larned National Historic Site and Nicodemus National Historic Site, Kansas**

#### **\$145,000 and 2.0 FTEs to Implement Preservation Maintenance Program**

Funding is requested to implement a preservation maintenance program for both parks. Fort Larned preserves nine original buildings and is one of the best examples in the country of a complete and authentic frontier military fort. Nicodemus, which preserves five original buildings, is the first and oldest surviving town west of the Mississippi planned, developed and settled by African Americans. Funds would be used to increase the capability to do preservation maintenance work, including carpentry, painting, electrical, plumbing, and masonry. The expected results would be to prevent structural deterioration and improve the condition of seven buildings currently in poor condition at both parks. Six buildings which are currently closed because of their poor and unsafe conditions would be reopened for visitor use. This would increase visitor satisfaction with park facilities and greatly increase their understanding of the parks' historical significance.

**KANSAS (MWR)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Fort Larned NHS	Correct Structural Problems in Old Commissary	\$869
Fort Larned NHS	Stabilize and Restore North Officers' Quarters	\$1,114

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)  
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$616

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
Proposed state apportionment: \$1,207

National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ranking:	925
Planned Funding FY:	2005
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

Project Title: Correct Structural Problems In Old Commissary		
Project No: 027384	Unit/Facility Name: Fort Larned National Historic Site	
Region: Midwest	Congressional District: 01	State: KS

**Project Justification**

<p><b>Project Description:</b> This project would correct serious foundation and stone wall failures in the Old Commissary Building, HS-5, to prevent possible collapse of the building. Specific work items include replacement of the foundation, correction of surface drainage, thermal expansion relief, re-pointing of masonry, application of a stone consolidant, and straightening or stabilization of leaning wall sections through reconstruction and/or the introduction of mechanical ties or a bond beam. Upon completion of the rehabilitation work on the commissary, its Facility Condition Index will be improved from 0.27 to 0.00.</p>
<p><b>Project Need/Benefit:</b> The Old Commissary is the oldest of the nine original buildings around the parade ground. Begun in 1867, construction methods were more primitive than those on the other eight buildings, including a structural unconformity that occurred when construction was halted midway through completion of the building. A structural engineer has advised that HS-5 is in the worst structural condition of the park's buildings. Monitoring, begun in 1997, has indicated continuing horizontal movement with unstable conditions at the corners and north wall. With full height windows on the north parade ground side, sections of the wall lean out over six inches. While the loss of any of the historical buildings would be unacceptable, this building houses critical park utility infrastructure including the domestic water treatment and distribution system, fire suppression system (fire pump and controls), and main electrical transformers. In addition, two bays are open to the public, providing popular living history stations that are historically furnished to interpret the commissary issue room, commissary office and arsenal.</p>
<p><b>Ranking Categories:</b> Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.</p> <p>75 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance      0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance  0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement      0 % Compliance &amp; Other Deferred Maintenance  25 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance      0 % Other Capital Improvement  0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement</p>
<p>Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES:    NO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>    Total Project Score: 925</p>

**Project Costs and Status**

<p><b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>    \$'s      %</p> <p>Deferred Maintenance Work :    \$ 869,000    100</p> <p>Capital Improvement Work:    \$        0        0</p> <p>Total Project Estimate:        \$ 869,000    100</p> <p>Class of Estimate:                B</p> <p>Estimate Good Until:            09/30/05</p>	<p><b>Project Funding History:</b></p> <p>Appropriated to Date:            \$            0</p> <p>Requested in FY 2005 Budget:    \$ 869,000</p> <p>Planned Funding:                    \$            0</p> <p>Future Funding to</p> <p>Complete Project:                    \$            0</p> <p>Project Total:                         \$ 869,000</p>
<p><b>Dates:</b>                                Sch'd (qtr/yy)</p> <p>Construction Start/Award        3 / 2005</p> <p>Project Complete:                    3 / 2006</p>	<p>Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated: 1/9/04</p> <p>Unchanged Since Departmental Approval: YES:            NO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>

National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ranking:	700
Planned Funding FY:	2005
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

Project Title: Stabilize and Restore North Officers' Quarters		
Project No: 077446	Unit/Facility Name: Fort Larned National Historic Site	
Region: Midwest	Congressional District: 01	State: Kansas

**Project Justification**

<p><b>Project Description:</b> The project will complete the restoration of the North Officers' Quarters. It will stabilize the exterior windows, doors and areas of failing stone masonry. The interior will be restored to the 1868 period. Site surface drainage which sends roof runoff toward the building will be addressed to the extent possible with rainwater collection system of gutters, downspouts and a possible cistern. Laboratory and on-site testing indicate application of a stone consolidant will greatly enhance masonry strength and reduce the absorption rate of the sandstone. Cellar walls below the kitchens were discovered to be only one wythe thick; they are now believed to need complete rebuilding. The Facility Condition Index for the North Officers' Quarters will be improved from 0.19 to 0.00 when this project is completed.</p>
<p><b>Project Need/Benefit:</b> The North Officers' Quarters is one of the most threatened buildings in the park. Little work, except for new roofs, has been done on it since the park was established in 1966. Exterior windows and doors are weathering rapidly which is resulting in loss of original fabric. Park maintenance performs patch jobs, but the building needs stabilization. The North Officers' Quarters has the most original fabric of any of the nine original buildings that comprise Fort Larned. The inside of the structure was used for housing during the farming/ranching period. It is in fair to poor condition and needs restoration to the historic period so that it can be opened to the public and interpreted.</p>
<p><b>Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.</b></p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance      <input type="checkbox"/> % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance  <input type="checkbox"/> % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement      <input type="checkbox"/> % Compliance &amp; Other Deferred Maintenance  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance      <input type="checkbox"/> % Other Capital Improvement  <input type="checkbox"/> % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement         </p>
<p>Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES:    NO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>    Total Project Score: 700</p>

**Project Costs and Status**

<p><b>Project Cost Estimate:</b></p> <table> <tr> <td></td> <td>\$'s</td> <td>%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Deferred Maintenance Work :</td> <td>\$ 1,114,000</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Capital Improvement Work:</td> <td>\$ 0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Project Estimate:</td> <td>\$ 1,114,000</td> <td>100</td> </tr> </table> <p>Class of Estimate: C Estimate Good Until: 09/30/02</p>		\$'s	%	Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$ 1,114,000	100	Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 0	0	Total Project Estimate:	\$ 1,114,000	100	<p><b>Project Funding History:</b></p> <table> <tr> <td>Appropriated to Date:</td> <td>\$ 0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Requested in FY 2005 Budget:</td> <td>\$ 1,114,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Planned Funding:</td> <td>\$ 0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Future Funding to Complete Project:</td> <td>\$ 0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Project Total:</td> <td>\$ 1,114,000</td> </tr> </table>	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 0	Requested in FY 2005 Budget:	\$ 1,114,000	Planned Funding:	\$ 0	Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$ 0	Project Total:	\$ 1,114,000
	\$'s	%																					
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$ 1,114,000	100																					
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 0	0																					
Total Project Estimate:	\$ 1,114,000	100																					
Appropriated to Date:	\$ 0																						
Requested in FY 2005 Budget:	\$ 1,114,000																						
Planned Funding:	\$ 0																						
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$ 0																						
Project Total:	\$ 1,114,000																						
<p><b>Dates:</b></p> <table> <tr> <td></td> <td>Sch'd (qtr/yy)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Construction Start/Award</td> <td>3 / 2005</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Project Complete:</td> <td>3 / 2006</td> </tr> </table>		Sch'd (qtr/yy)	Construction Start/Award	3 / 2005	Project Complete:	3 / 2006	<p>Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated: 12/5/03</p> <p>Unchanged Since Departmental Approval: YES:    NO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>																
	Sch'd (qtr/yy)																						
Construction Start/Award	3 / 2005																						
Project Complete:	3 / 2006																						

## MICHIGAN

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l		FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	
District	Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	FY 2005 Estimate
	01 Isle Royale NP	3,256	3,243	0	223	3,466
	01 Keweenaw NHP	1,420	1,409	0	0	1,409
	00 North Country NST	543	596	0	0	596
	01 Pictured Rocks NL	1,808	1,800	0	0	1,800
	01 Sleeping Bear Dunes NL	3,341	3,332	0	0	3,332

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## MICHIGAN

### Isle Royale National Park, Michigan

#### **\$223,000 and 4.2 FTEs to Correct Deficiencies in the Park Law Enforcement Program**

Funding is requested to enhance law enforcement and emergency service programs. Visitor use is heavy; and Isle Royale NP ranks 9th in total backcountry overnight stays. Additional patrols are needed to deal with the size, complexity and hazards inherent in the park's marine setting. Park law enforcement responds to approximately 200 law enforcement incidents, six boating accidents, 30 EMS and 12-20 search and rescue incidents annually. Funding would enable the park to execute its law enforcement and rescue responsibilities safely and professionally. Effective marine patrols on Lake Superior and patrols in the park's designated wilderness area would enhance protection of park resources and visitors.

## MICHIGAN (MWR)

(dollars in thousands)

### PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Sleeping Bear Dunes NL	Ongoing Project
Pictured Rocks NL	Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$761

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$2,567

## MINNESOTA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congress'l District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	FY 2005
	Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Estimate
08 Grand Portage NM	972	967	0	0	967
02,03,04,05,06 Mississippi NR & RA <sup>1</sup>	1,680	1,668	-95	0	1,573
00 North Country NST	543	596	0	0	596
01 Pipestone NM	662	659	0	0	659
02,06,08 Saint Croix NSR & Lower Saint Croix NSR	2,979	3,251	0	0	3,251
08 Voyageurs NP	3,185	3,174	0	0	3,174

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup> Dollars moved to Servicewide GSA Space Rental Program

## MINNESOTA (MWR)

(dollars in thousands)

### PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

**Park Area**

Pipestone NM

**Type of Project**

Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$670

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$1,610

## MISSOURI

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congress'l District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	FY 2005
	Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Estimate
00 California NHT	199	246	0	0	246
07 George Washington Carver NM	740	739	0	0	739
05 Harry S Truman NHS	1,071	1,066	0	86	1,152
01,03 Jefferson Natl Expansion Memorial	7,771	8,279	0	668	8,947
00 Lewis & Clark NHT	1,696	1,681	0	0	1,681
00 Oregon NHT	214	213	0	0	213
08 Ozark National Scenic Riverways	5,435	5,384	0	0	5,384
00 Pony Express NHT	179	177	0	0	177
00 Santa Fe NHT	592	631	0	0	631
00 Trail of Tears NHT	245	296	0	0	296
02,03 Ulysses S Grant NHS	785	779	0	0	779
07 Wilson's Creek NB	1,807	1,798	0	0	1,798

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## MISSOURI

### **Harry S Truman National Historic Site, Missouri**

#### **\$86,000 to Provide Repair to Deteriorated Historic Park Structures**

Funding is requested to repair serious deterioration in historic structures and to institute a preventive maintenance program. Of the 33 historic structures on site, 12 are in poor condition and four are in fair condition. The Truman Home itself, which is the most important structure in the park, is in fair condition. Funding would be used to contract firms specializing in historic restoration to return the deteriorated structures to good condition. Detecting and repairing damaged areas would prevent the potential permanent loss of historic fabric, avoid loss due to a lack of repair or maintenance, reduce the maintenance backlog and make buildings safe for visitor and employee use.

### **Jefferson National Expansion Memorial, Missouri**

#### **\$668,000 and 8.0 FTEs to Increase Support for Anti-Terrorism/Homeland Security Program**

Funding is requested to continue implementation of heightened homeland security measures aimed at ensuring visitor safety and protecting resources. Jefferson National Expansion Memorial has been designated by DOI as one of the "icon" parks in the Service that is considered a possible terrorist target. Therefore, the park requires a formal anti-terrorism program in addition to its regular and ongoing law enforcement activities. This request will upgrade or provide additional law enforcement, security screening, and surveillance equipment, e.g., night/low light vision, portable radiation detectors for Security Checkpoints and non-lethal weapons. The park would be staffed and equipped to implement and operate a viable, effective, and multi-faceted Anti-Terrorism/Homeland Security Program which could deter acts of terrorism and protect the primary park resources (Gateway Arch and Old Courthouse) and its 4 million annual visitors.

## **MISSOURI (MWR)**

(dollars in thousands)

### **PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$666

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$1,723

## MONTANA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l		FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	
District	Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2005
				Changes	Changes	Estimate
	00 Big Hole NB	510	507	0	0	507
	00 Bighorn Canyon NRA	2,627	2,616	0	401	3,017
	00 Fort Union Trading Post NHS	632	631	0	0	631
	00 Glacier NP	11,103	11,072	0	300	11,372
	00 Grant-Kohrs Ranch NHS	1,052	1,048	0	150	1,198
	00 Lewis & Clark NHT	1,696	1,681	0	0	1,681
	00 Little Bighorn NM	1,034	1,029	0	0	1,029
	00 Yellowstone NP	27,669	28,116	0	950	29,066

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## **MONTANA**

### **Bighorn Canyon National Recreation Area, Montana and Wyoming**

#### **\$401,000 and 6.0 FTEs to Correct Deterioration of Public Use Facilities and Infrastructure**

Funding is requested to correct the deterioration of public use facilities and infrastructure. Visitor survey figures show 17% of park visitors ranked the facilities/infrastructure as being in average, poor, or very poor condition. Funding would be used to improve facility maintenance and correct deterioration of roads, walkways, trails, campgrounds, picnic areas, restrooms, contact stations, docks, and water safety structures. These improvements would protect the capital investments that have been made in the park, protect the park's natural and cultural resources and improve visitor satisfaction.

### **Glacier National Park, Montana**

#### **\$150,000 and 2.0 FTEs to Enhance Resource Protection and Visitor Safety at Canadian Border**

Funding is requested to increase public, facility and resource protection along the international boundary with Canada. Glacier National Park shares 21 miles of border along the International Peace Park boundary with Waterton National Park, Alberta (Canada) and 19 miles of border along the International boundary with British Columbia (Canada). U.S. Border Patrol and Glacier National Park data show that undocumented immigrants are migrating across the border and through Glacier National Park. It is suspected that much of this travel is related to illegal drug smuggling, but the area also has potential for terrorists to illegally enter the United States. The U.S. Customs Service has deputized several park law enforcement rangers in the Goat Haunt area, which is a significant border crossing area. Funds would provide for year-round coverage along the northern border of Glacier National Park, specifically in the North Fork and Goat Haunt subdistricts. They would also augment wilderness patrols and increase presence along the entire International Boundary. This request would increase

#### **\$150,000 and 1.0 FTE to Operate New Sewage Treatment Plant**

Funding is requested to operate and maintain a new sewage treatment plant. The facility requires a high level of operation and maintenance and substantial increases in electrical usage and material support. Funding would provide for the year round operation of the plant, maintenance of valves, piping, storage reservoirs, water testing, electronic monitoring equipment and reporting requirements. Plant operations would provide treatment of 250,000 gallons of sewage per day, serving the one million visitors who enjoy the park's natural and cultural resources and protecting surface water quality of the Middle Fork of the Flathead River, a designated wild and scenic river.

### **Grant-Kohrs Ranch National Historic Site, Montana**

#### **\$150,000 and 1.0 FTE to Maintain Deteriorating Historic Structures**

Funding is requested to provide increased preservation care for the site's 88 historic structures, including 12 that are in poor condition and 21 that are in fair condition. Funds would support development of a preservation program to provide scheduled maintenance for long term, annual and daily preservation care. Ultimately, all historic structures would be in a condition that only requires cyclic preservation maintenance. This action is consistent with the strategic goal of the National Park Service to increase the number of historic structures on the List of Classified Structures in good condition. Preservation of historic structures and artifacts would enhance education and understanding of the nation's frontier open range cattle era.

## **Yellowstone National Park; Idaho, Montana and Wyoming**

### **\$950,000 and 8.0 FTEs to Protect Yellowstone Road Assets through Preventive Maintenance**

Funding is requested to increase preventative maintenance beyond current pothole patching operations for one third of the 350 miles of primary park roads. Deferred annual road maintenance has led to the virtual failure of some of Yellowstone's roads, which creates traffic delays and temporary closures of popular sections of the grand loop due to safety concerns. This funding would enable the park to perform annual maintenance including 112 miles of ditch and culvert clearing, 36 miles of crack sealing, 16 miles of chip sealing and 8 miles of overlay on 112 miles of primary road. The life-cycle costs are unarguably in favor of annual maintenance, as 1 mile sealed 6 times and overlaid 3 times in 50 years would cost \$258,000, but without proper maintenance would be rebuilt twice at a cost of \$2,000,000. Increased road maintenance would result in improved visitor safety and enjoyment, keep roads off the deferred maintenance list, and protect road assets.

**MONTANA (MWR)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION  
None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)  
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$586

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
Proposed state apportionment: \$862

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	460
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	2004
<b>Funding Source:</b>	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Replace Administrative Winter Snowcoaches and Improve Support Infrastructure		
<b>Project No:</b> 090713	<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Yellowstone National Park	
<b>Region:</b> Intermountain	<b>Congressional District:</b> 00	<b>State:</b> WY

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** Funding requested for FY2005 will complete this project and will be used to improve snowcoach maintenance facilities in the John D. Rockefeller, Jr., Memorial Parkway (administered by Grand Teton National Park) and alternative fuel infrastructure in Grand Teton and Yellowstone National Parks. FY2004 funding will provide for the purchase of six new generation snowcoaches to replace NPS-owned, administrative snowcoaches in Yellowstone and Grand Teton National Parks. The vehicles will use alternative fuels, be ADA-compliant, and hold about 15 passengers each. They will operate on tracks in the winter and on wheels in the summer.

**Project Need/Benefit:** The preferred alternative for the draft Yellowstone and Grand Teton National Parks winter use plans calls for a six-element implementation program to insure that park resources and values are not impaired as a result of continued snowmobile use in the parks. All six elements must be implemented for the draft preferred alternative to be successful. One element of the program is to develop a new-generation snowcoach for use in the parks. Yellowstone and Grand Teton have been working with a consortium of groups and manufacturers to develop a new mid-sized tour vehicle for national parks across the country. This "New Red Bus" is a 15-32 passenger, alternatively fueled, fully accessible vehicle, whose genesis is the historic buses of Glacier and Yellowstone National Parks. One model of this vehicle is being designed to operate on tracks in the winter and wheels in the summer, and would be a "new generation snowcoach." The first production year of the vehicle would be 2004. This proposal is to introduce the new generation snowcoach primarily for administrative use in the parks to allow them to be tested by employees in their everyday work, including transportation of people around the interior of the parks, as well as shuttling crews and materials to winter work sites. The coaches would be loaned on a short-term basis to concessioners, guides and outfitters who offer snowcoach service in the parks to allow them to test the machines and gain initial visitor reactions. Since these will be first-year production vehicles and can be modified in future years, evaluation of them is an important part of their use in the parks. The coaches would be fueled with Compressed Natural Gas (CNG). The fueling infrastructure portion of the proposal would place a liquefied natural gas facility (with an associated compressor for CNG) at both Flagg Ranch and Old Faithful to allow the vehicles to be refueled in the parks as well as in gateway communities. A maintenance facility is needed to address a lack of such facilities to serve snowcoaches coming from the Jackson area.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	40 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
40 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	20 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

**Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:** YES: NO: x **Total Project Score:** 460

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>			<b>Project Funding History:</b>	
	<b>\$'s</b>	<b>%</b>	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 1,869,000
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$ 2,295,200	80	Requested in FY2005 Budget:	\$ 1,000,000
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 573,800	20	Required to Complete Project:	\$ 0
<b>Total Project Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 2,869,000</b>	<b>100</b>	Project Total:	\$ 2,869,000
<b>Class of Estimate:</b> B				
<b>Estimate Good Until:</b> 09/30/05				
<b>Dates:</b> Sch'd				
<b>(qtr/yy)</b>				
<b>Construction Start/Award</b> 2 / 2004			<b>Project Data Sheet</b>	
<b>Project Complete:</b> 4 / 2005			<b>Prepared/Last Updated:</b> 2/19/2004	
			<b>Unchanged Since</b>	
			<b>Departmental</b>	
			<b>Approval:</b>	
			<b>YES: NO: x</b>	

## NEBRASKA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l		FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	
District	Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	FY 2005 Estimate
	03 Agate Fossil Beds NM	495	493	0	0	493
	01 Homestead NM of America	715	713	0	0	713
	00 Lewis & Clark NHT	1,696	1,681	0	0	1,681
01,03	Missouri NR	533	528	0	0	528
	00 Mormon Pioneer NHT	126	125	0	0	125
	03 Niobrara NSR	691	687	0	0	687
	00 Oregon NHT	214	213	0	0	213
	00 Pony Express NHT	179	177	0	0	177
	03 Scotts Bluff NM	741	739	0	0	739

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**NEBRASKA (MWR)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

**Park Area**

Niobrara NSR

**Type of Project**

Potential New Start

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$586

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$1,025

## NORTH DAKOTA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	FY 2005
		Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Estimate
	00 Fort Union Trading Post NHS	632	631	0	0	631
	00 Knife River Indian Village NHS	655	651	0	0	651
	00 Lewis & Clark NHT	1,696	1,681	0	0	1,681
	00 North Country NST	543	596	0	0	596
	00 Theodore Roosevelt NP	2,192	2,184	0	0	2,184

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**NORTH DAKOTA (MWR)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Theodore Roosevelt NP, Exotic Plant Management Impact Assessment	Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION  
None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)  
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$530

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
Proposed state apportionment: \$822

## OHIO

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congress'l District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2003 Enacted	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2005 Program Changes	FY 2005 Estimate
10,11,13,14,17 Cuyahoga Valley NP	9,552	9,508	0	0	9,508
03,07 Dayton Aviation NHP	1,662	1,644	0	0	1,644
16 First Ladies NHS	700	789	0	0	789
06,07,18 Hopewell Culture NHP	783	778	0	0	778
19 James A Garfield NHS	145	144	0	0	144
00 North Country NST	543	596	0	0	596
09 Perry's Victory & Intl Peace Memorial	883	878	0	105	983
01,02 William Howard Taft NHS	529	527	0	59	586

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## OHIO

### **Perry's Victory & International Peace Memorial, Ohio**

#### **\$105,000 and 2.0 FTEs to Prevent Deterioration of the Memorial**

Funding is requested to provide restorative maintenance and preventive maintenance. After 87 years of exposure to the elements, many aspects of the memorial are deteriorating. Mortar joints have failed and cracks have emerged on the exterior and interior walls, allowing water to penetrate the column and plazas. Moisture is creating structural and mechanical problems within the monument and is damaging displays and exhibits. Funding would be used to paint the plazas, interior bricks and areas of exterior blocks and seal brass plaques, rails and doors. This request would improve the state of the memorial, prevent further deterioration and enhance visitor experience and safety.

### **William Howard Taft National Historic Site, Ohio**

#### **\$59,000 and 1.0 FTE to Implement a Preventive Maintenance Program**

Funding is requested to implement a preventive maintenance program for the Taft Home and the Taft Education Center. The Taft Home is now experiencing some deterioration and requires ongoing historic preservation for the interior and the exterior of the building. The Taft Education Center has a state-of-the-art computer controlled HVAC, security, lighting and fire suppression system that requires a high level of technical expertise to maintain. Funding this request to preserve and maintain these two facilities would protect park resources and ensure visitors to the site have a safe and enjoyable experience.

**OHIO (MWR)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Dayton Aviation Heritage NHP	Potential New Start
Fallen Timbers Battlefield	Ongoing Project
First Ladies Library NHS	Potential New Start

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Cuyahoga Valley NRA, Deer Management	Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$757

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$2,867

## OKLAHOMA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l		FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	FY 2005
District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas		Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol	Program	Estimate
				Changes	Changes	
	02 Chickasaw NRA	2,724	2,960	0	366	3,326
	02 Fort Smith NHS	781	778	0	0	778
	05 Oklahoma City Natl Memorial	215	711	0	0	711
	00 Santa Fe NHT	592	631	0	0	631
	00 Trail of Tears NHT	245	296	0	0	296
	03 Washita Battlefield NHS	630	624	0	0	624

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## OKLAHOMA

### **Chickasaw National Recreation Area, Oklahoma**

#### **\$366,000 and 4.8 FTEs to Operate and Maintain New Visitor Center**

Funding is requested for orientation, public information, and interpretation at the new visitor center. Construction of a 4,000 square foot visitor center, the first in the park's 100 year history, will be completed in 2004. The visitor center will be located at the Vendome Well area on a major thoroughfare adjacent to the local gateway community of Sulphur, Oklahoma. Its location near the main entrance to the park on Highway 7 has an average daily vehicle count of 9,000. High visitation rates, general confusion regarding the nature and purpose of the recreation area necessitates the need for a primary visitor orientation point. Funding would be used to provide visitor orientation and interpretive services. Funding would also provide custodial and preventive maintenance for the new visitor center. Orientation to the resources, history, and recreational opportunities available will increase visitor satisfaction.

**OKLAHOMA (MWR)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION  
None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)  
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$610

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
Proposed state apportionment: \$1,320

## SOUTH DAKOTA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l		FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	
District	Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2005
				Changes	Changes	Estimate
	00 Badlands NP	3,063	3,056	0	0	3,056
	00 Lewis & Clark NHT	1,696	1,681	0	0	1,681
	00 Jewel Cave NM	874	871	0	0	871
	00 Minuteman Missile NHS	331	327	0	293	620
	00 Mount Rushmore Natl Memorial	2,903	3,315	0	332	3,647
	00 Wind Cave NP	1,891	2,117	0	0	2,117

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## **SOUTH DAKOTA**

### **Minuteman Missile National Historical Site, South Dakota**

#### **\$293,000 and 3.7 FTEs to Provide Maintenance and Protection of Cultural Resources**

Funding is requested to provide park operating programs in historic preservation, maintenance and cultural resources management at this new unit of the National Park Service. The facility was transferred to the NPS in September 2002; however, the Army had not maintained the site since 1992. Funding would be used to initiate a preventive maintenance and restoration program. In 2002 an inactive missile was re-inserted into the Delta Nine silo and a viewing enclosure was installed over it. This funding would be used to allow visitors an opportunity to see what the site must have looked like when it was active, while protecting park resources and providing visitor safety.

### **Mount Rushmore National Memorial, South Dakota**

#### **\$332,000 and 4.0 FTEs to Provide Long Term Anti-Terrorism and Security Improvements**

Funding is requested to improve security programs at the park. Mount Rushmore, a recognized symbol of the United States, features colossal sculptures of four American Presidents. There is a documented history of incidents and threats, which continue to the present time. Funding would be used to increase law enforcement presence and capabilities. Funding would also provide contracts to maintain various physical security systems. This request would enhance protection of this national icon and provide a safer environment for visitors.

## SOUTH DAKOTA (MWR)

(dollars in thousands)

### PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Badlands NP	Ongoing Project
Minuteman Missile NHS	Ongoing Project
Niobrara NSR	Potential New Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Wind Cave NP, Chronic Wasting Disease Assessment	Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Wind Cave NP	Overlay Highway	\$2,400

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$543

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$836

## WISCONSIN

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l		FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	FY 2005
District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas		Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol	Program	Estimate
				Changes	Changes	
07	Apostle Islands NL	2,444	2,435	0	0	2,435
00	Ice Age NST	495	530	0	0	530
00	Lewis & Clark NHT	1,696	1,681	0	0	1,681
00	North Country NST	543	596	0	0	596
03,07	Saint Croix NSR & Lower Saint Croix NSR	2,979	3,251	0	0	3,251

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**WISCONSIN (MWR)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Apostle Islands NL	Restore Raspberry Island Light Station	\$1,136

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)  
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$674

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
Proposed state apportionment: \$1,674

National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	820
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	2005
<b>Funding Source:</b>	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Restore Raspberry Island Light Station		
<b>Project No:</b> 019687	<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Apostle Islands National Lakeshore	
<b>Region:</b> Midwest	<b>Congressional District:</b> 07	<b>State:</b> WI

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** This package provides for restoration at the Raspberry Island Light Station, including the keeper's quarters and the assistant keeper's quarters, to an adaptive furnished exhibit and seasonal employee housing, respectively. The restoration work includes replacing historic stamped sheet metal shingles and associated wood trim; replacing historic clapboard siding and miscellaneous wood surfaces; reconstructing porches, flooring, steps, handrails and balustrades, window sills, sashes, and through-wall flashing; repairing the tower's sheet metal deck, handrail, glass, and roofing. The interiors of both sides of the lighthouse will be renovated for adaptive use, with the north half serving as seasonal employee quarters, and the south half adapted for interpretive uses. A water system for fire suppression and domestic use, a wastewater treatment system, and an electric power generation system will be developed under this project to meet the needs of the restored station. The light station's location on the bluff of Lake Superior's Raspberry Island increases the logistical difficulties and costs of the project, so some existing utilities may continue to be used if necessary to keep the project within budget. Major health and safety improvements are accomplished in handrail, electrical, fire system, flooring, and balustrade improvements to meet current codes.

**Project Need/Benefit:** This light station is one of the six light stations listed on the National Register of Historic Places that are managed by Apostle Islands National Lakeshore. Due to the light station's relatively close proximity to the mainland, it serves as one of the most readily accessible cultural resources managed by the park. However, moisture intrusion and the natural elements entering through the deteriorating building components into the interior historic fabric continue to thwart stop-gap measures for preserving the resource. Roofing and windows continue to leak, threatening the building interior. Plaster is spalling, interior and exterior painting is failing, windows and doors are succumbing to the continued pressure of the elements. Interpretation of the site is attempted in the backdrop of the extant deteriorating facilities. Refurnishing cannot be implemented in accordance with the Historic Structures Report, as required by the General Management Plan, without securing the structure from the elements. The lighthouse is not handicap accessible. Without restoration of the light station, significant progress in efforts to preserve the resource and present it to the public, as mandated, will be hindered. Resource degradation will continue to occur, the seasonal employee housing at the site will remain sub-standard, and the visiting public will continue in their limited and incomplete experience. During the four-month visitation period, nearly 6,000-10,000 visitors experience the site, mostly through the concessions operated cruise service. The local concessioner's cruise service plans to double its frequency to the site in the future.

**Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.**

0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
70 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
10 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
20 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

**Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:** YES: NO: x **Total Project Score:** 820

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>	<b>\$'s</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Project Funding History:</b>
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$ 114,000	10	Appropriated to Date: \$ 0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 1,022,000	90	Requested in FY 2005 Budget: \$ 1,136,000
<b>Total Project Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 1,136,000</b>	<b>100</b>	Funding to Complete Project: \$ 0
Class of Estimate:	B		Project Total: \$ 1,136,000
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/05		
<b>Dates:</b>	<b>Sch'd (qtr/yy)</b>		<b>Unchanged Since</b>
Construction Start/Award	1 / 2005		Departmental
Project Complete:	1 / 2006		Approval:
			YES: NO: x
			Project Data Sheet
			Prepared/Last Updated: 12/5/03